## **BP OP 1-2**

## Propensity-score matching analysis of postoperative outcome including quality of life after single incision versus multiport laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A nationwide prospective multicenter study in Korea

**Dae Joon PARK**<sup>1</sup>, In Woong HAN<sup>\* 1</sup>, Hyeon Kook LEE<sup>2</sup>, Hui Song LEE<sup>2</sup>, Seog Ki MIN<sup>2</sup>, Sun Whe KIM<sup>3</sup>, Jin Young JANG<sup>3</sup>, Kwang Min PARK<sup>8</sup>, Ji Eun CHOI<sup>9</sup>, In Seok CHOI<sup>10</sup>, Jin Seok HEO<sup>1</sup>, Dong Wook CHOI<sup>1</sup>, Seong Ho CHOI<sup>1</sup>, Ho-Seong HAN<sup>4</sup>, Jai Young CHO<sup>4</sup>, Yoo-Seok YOON<sup>4</sup>, Kwang Yeol PAIK<sup>7</sup>, Woo Jung LEE<sup>5</sup>, Dong Sup YOON<sup>5</sup>, Sol Ji CHOI<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of HepatoBiliary-Pancreatic surgery, Department of General surgery, Samsung Medical Center, Korea

- <sup>2</sup>General surgery, Ewha Womans University School of Medicine, Mokdong Hospital, Korea
- <sup>3</sup>General surgery, Seoul National University Hospital, Korea

<sup>4</sup>General surgery, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Korea

<sup>5</sup>HepatoBiliary Pancreas Surgery, Yonsei University Medical School, Sinchon Severance Hospital , Korea

<sup>6</sup>HepatoBiliary Pancreas Surgery, Yonsei University Medical School, Gangnam Severance Hospital , Korea

<sup>7</sup>General surgery, The Catholic University of Korea, Yeouido ST. Mary's Hospital, Korea

<sup>8</sup>General surgery, Asan Medical Center, Korea

<sup>9</sup> 의료기술평가연구단, National Evidence-Based healthcare Collaborating Agency, Korea, Korea <sup>10</sup>General surgery, Konyang University Hosipital, Korea

**Introduction** : There is still controversy for usefulness of single incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy (SILC) compared to multiport laparoscopic cholecystectomy (MPLC) due to no clear indication and technical difficulties. SILC could reduce the number of ports, but cause more postoperative pain and worsen quality of life (QoL) because of large umbilical incision. The purpose of this study was to compare postoperative outcomes of SILS with those of MPLC.

**Methods** : In a prospective Korea Cholecystectomy Quality Improvement Program cohort including 18 institutions from October 2016 to March 2017, 2510 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy for benign gallbladder disease were enrolled. Various postoperative outcomes were compared between two groups including operative details, postoperative complications, pain assessed by NRS score, and QoL assessed by GIQLI questionnaire after propensity score matching (PSM) with 1: 2 balances using multiple covariates, such as, age, sex, ASA classification, comorbidities, biliary drainage, and pathology of acute cholecystitis.

**Results** : Among study cohort, SILS were performed 331 patients, whereas MPLC were 2179 patients. After uniand multivariate analysis, there were no significant differences in terms of operative finding including operative time (46±20 min. vs 45±24 min., p= NS) and difficulty, postoperative complications including biliary injury. Also, there were no significant differences in terms of postoperative pain (2.1±1.9 vs. 2.3±2.3, p= NS) and QoL (1.8±2.1 vs. 1.7±1.6, p= NS).

**Conclusions** : SILC showed comparable postoperative outcomes including operative findings, postoperative complications, and patients- reported outcomes after PSM analysis with a nationwide prospective data. In conclusion, SILC is as safe as MPLC for benign gallbladder disease compared with MPLC.

Corresponding Author. : In Woong HAN ( Cardioman76@gmail.com )